



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/766,699 | 01/28/2004 | Joseph Henriques | 2-235.006-1 | 2068 |
| 4955 | 7590 02/10/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & | | | AMIRI, NAHID | |
| ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING 5 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 | | | 3679 | |
| MONROE, CT 06468 | | | DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/766,699 | HENRIQUES, JOSEPH | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Nahid Amiri | 3679 | | | |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the second and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 November 2005</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | , _ | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-8, and 11-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>5,9 and 10</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In view of Applicant's Amendment received 17 November 2005, amendments to the claims have been entered. Claims 1-15 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,267,529 B1 Mudryk et al.

In regard to claims 1, 12 and 14: Mudryk et al. disclose a flexible traffic post (1) (Fig. 3) including an upper adapter (8) for attachment to a first part of the post (2); a lower adapter portion (8) for attachment to a second part of the post (4); a cylindrically helical spring (7) for connecting the upper adapter (8) to lower adapter (8); wherein at least one of the upper and lower adapter portion (8) is adapted to receive the inner and outer surface of the helical spring (7) by having a threaded lug (11) as a screw; wherein applying a force to the first part of the post 2 inherently creates a torque between the upper and lower adapter portions (8) greater than a predetermined amount; and wherein the spring (7) inherently creates a tension that depends upon how far it is screwed into the at least one of the upper and lower adapter portions (8) by threaded lug (11).

In regard to claim 2: Mudryk et al. disclose (Fig. 3) the upper and lower adapter portions (8) having a plurality of holes (9) for removably screwing the adapter to the posts (2 and 4).

In regard to claim 3: Mudryk et al. disclose (Fig. 8) having a shield (13) for encircling and protecting at least the helical spring (7).

Art Unit: 3679

In regard to claim 4: Mudryk et al. disclose (Fig. 8) the lower and upper adapter portions (8) are shaped to receive the respective parts of the posts (2 and 4).

In regard to claims 7 and 13: Mudryk et al. disclose (Fig. 8) at least one of the adapter portions (8) includes a threaded lug (11) which constitutes as a removable device which capble of securing the spring (7) to prevent unscrewing; wherein the spring (7) inherently capable of securing in a plurality of screwed positions with a threaded lug (11) which causes a cylindrically helical spring (7) to have a corresponding plurality of different tensions.

In regard to claim 11: Mudryk et al. disclose (Fig. 8) the upper adapter portion and the lower adapter portion (8) have respective facing elements (10) located outside the shield (13) for securing the helical spring to upper and lower adapters (8).

In regard to claim 15: Mudryk et al. disclose (Fig. 8) the spring (7) having a bend for contacting the removable threaded lug (11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mudryk et al.

In regard to claim 6: Mudryk et al. disclose applicant claimed invention except the one or more of the adapter portion is secured to the helical spring using glue or caulk. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to secure the adapter portions to the helical spring by using glue or caulk which known in the art for tightening and connecting two components together in more secure way.

Art Unit: 3679

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mudryk et al., as applied to claims 1-4, 7 and 11-15 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 2,567,931 Foster.

Page 4

In regard to claim 8: Mudryk et al. disclose the claimed invention except the removable device comprises a screw. Foster teaches (Figs. 3, 4) (column 3, lines 8-11) the spring (29) is connected to their respective links (30) by means of pins (33) through respective eyelets. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to provide the spring of Mudryk et al. with pins as taught by Foster in order to connect the spring to lower and upper adaptors.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 9 and 10 stand objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 17 November 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to Applicant's response to 35 USC § 112 rejection of claim 5, Examiner agrees. The rejection of claim 5 has been withdrawn.

In Remarks/Arguments, Applicant points out that the amended claim 1, clarify that the **outer surface** of the spring receive as a screw, which different from Mudryk et al. '529 where the inner surface of the spring screw onto a threaded lug. With respect to amended claim 7, Applicant argues that the removable device prevents unscrewing. Examiner disagrees.

Examiner responses with respect to claim 1, 1st) Applicant do not define the shape of the adapter portions, 2nd) Applicant do not claim that the adapter portions are in direct contact with outer surface of the helical spring. Therefore, having the lug (11) of adapter potions (8) of

Art Unit: 3679

Mudryk et al. '529 receives by the inner surface of spring (7) that means it also receives by outer surface of the spring (7) since both the inner and outer surfaces are part of spring (7). With respect to claim (7) Applicant do not define what is the removable device is. Therefore, the lug (11) of Mudryk et al. '529 clearly constitutes a removable device of instant claim which capable of securing the spring to prevent unscrewing.

Page 5

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action, e.g. claim 1, lines 8-9, the limitation of at least one of the upper and lower adapter portions is shaped to receive **the outer surface of the** helical spring as a screw'", was not claimed in original claimed invention. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nahid Amiri whose telephone number is (571) 272-8113. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,699

Art Unit: 3679

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nahid Amiri Examiner Art Unit 3679 February 02, 2006

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600